IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	Case No. 05-cv-329-GKF(SAJ)
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,	
Defendants.)	

STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST THE CARGILL DEFENDANTS AND INTEGRATED BRIEF IN SUPPORT THEREOF

Expedited Consideration Requested

COMES NOW Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma, ex rel. W.A. Drew Edmondson, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma and Oklahoma Secretary of the Environment C. Miles Tolbert, in his capacity as the Trustee for Natural Resources for the State of Oklahoma ("the State"), and, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, respectfully moves this Court for an order imposing sanctions against the Cargill Defendants for failing to make its 30(b)(6) designees available for deposition. In support of this motion, the State states the following:

- 1. On July 13, 2007, the State served 30(b)(6) deposition notices on the Cargill Defendants. See Exs. 1 & 2 to DKT #1244.
- 2. The Cargill Defendants objected and refused to produce properly prepared 30(b)(6) designees.
- 3. Accordingly, on August 16, 2007, the State brought a motion to compel. *See* DKT #1244.
- 4. After briefing and oral argument on the issue, on October 24, 2007, the Court set the parameters for required preparation of 30(b)(6) designees. See DKT #1336.

- 5. On December 21, 2007, the State renoticed the 30(b)(6) depositions of the Cargill Defendants for January 30 and 31, 2008. *See* Exs. 1 & 2.
- 6. In an effort to accommodate a request from the Cargill Defendants, the State proposed narrowing the 30(b)(6) notices so that those topics most pertinent to issues pertaining to the State's Preliminary Injunction Motion would be covered at depositions on January 30, 2008, and the remaining topics would be covered at deposition following the preliminary injunction hearing. *See* Ex. 3.
- 7. Rather than respond to this good faith effort by the State to accommodate the Cargill Defendants, the Cargill Defendants, in a wholly improper move, attempted to seek relief from these depositions in their report to the Court on the status of Defendants' 30(b)(6) depositions of the State. See DKT #1453 ("Supplemental Status Report Regarding Cargill Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs [sic] to Designate Deponents Under Rule 30(b)(6) and State of Oklahoma's Motion for Protective Order Regarding the Conduct of 30(b)(6) Depositions of the State") & DKT #1457 ("State of Oklahoma's Motion to Strike Paragraph 9 of the 'Supplemental Status Report Regarding Cargill Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs [sic] to Designate Deponents Under Rule 30(b)(6) and State of Oklahoma's Motion for Protective Order Regarding the Conduct of 30(b)(6) Depositions of the State").
 - 8. On January 16, 2008, this Court entered an order stating:

Based upon the status reports [Dkts. 1452 & 1453] filed on 1/11/08, Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order [Dkt. 1309] and Cargill Defendants' Motion to Compel [Dkt. 1270] are stricken, to be refiled should meet and confers fail to resolve the issues, following hearing on preliminary injunction. Plaintiff's Motion to Strike [Dkt. 1457] is denied as moot.

DKT #1462.

- 9. In a misrepresentation of the Court's order, the Cargill Defendants, in a January 16, 2008 letter to the State, asserted: "Finally, having reviewed Judge Joyner's Minute Order of this afternoon, it seems clear <u>all</u> 30(b)(6) witness issues are deferred until after the Preliminary Injunction hearing." *See* Ex. 4 (emphasis added).
- 10. A review of the docket numbers cited in the January 16, 2008 Order makes abundantly clear that the postponement in 30(b)(6) depositions pertains to the State's 30(b)(6) designees. The suggestion that this Order applies to postpone wholly unrelated depositions of the Cargill Defendants' 30(b)(6) designees, thereby cutting off the State's right to develop evidence necessary for the preliminary injunction hearing, is simply wrong.¹
- 11. Accordingly, still in the spirit of compromise, on January 18, 2008, the State served pared-back 30(b)(6) deposition notices for January 30, 2008, that focused on issues pertaining to the State's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. *See* Exs. 5 & 6.
- 12. In response, the Cargill Defendants continued to attempt to evade the depositions. See Ex. 7 (Jan. 18, 2007 e-mail stating, "Richard: Let's get together and plan a date certain for March after spring break. JT").
- 13. On January 19, 2008, the State responded: "John I would like very much to do so however we have been trying to get a substantive 30b6 deposition of Cargill for months. We simply need this 'lite' portion of our 30b6 deposition in advance of the PI. I've cut in half what was originally planned and that is the best I can do at this time. Rick." *See* Ex. 8.
- 14. By letter dated January 23, 2008, the Cargill Defendants reiterated their misrepresentation of the scope of this Court's January 16, 2008 Order, and their position that they

That portion of the Order denying as moot the State's Motion to Strike [DKT #1457] is simply a recognition by the Court that there was no properly pending issue before it regarding any relief from the State's 30(b)(6) notices of the Cargill Defendants.

would not be presenting their 30(b)(6) designees until after the preliminary injunction hearing. See Ex. 9.

- 15. In a January 25, 2008 telephonic meet and confer on the matter, the Cargill Defendants persisted in their position that they would not be producing a properly prepared 30(b)(6) designee in response to the State's properly noticed 30(b)(6) deposition notices.
- 16. In short, the Cargill Defendants have refused to present their 30(b)(6) designees for deposition on January 30, 2008, despite the fact that: (a) the Cargill Defendants have been compelled to produce properly prepared 30(b)(6) designees, (b) the deposition notices have been pared-back in scope to accommodate a request from the Cargill Defendants, (c) this Court's January 16, 2008 Order did not address the State's 30(b)(6) depositions of the Cargill Defendants, and (d) the State needs this information to prepare for the preliminary injunction hearing.
 - 17. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(d)(1)(A)(i) provides:

The court where the action is pending may, on motion, order sanctions if:

- (i) a party or a party's officer, director, or managing agent--or a person designated under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a)(4) -- fails, after being served with proper notice, to appear for that person's deposition[.]
- 18. The foregoing chronology makes clear that the State has conferred in good faith with the Cargill Defendants in an effort to resolve this matter without court action.
 - 19. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(d)(1)(3) provides:

Sanctions may include any of the orders listed in Rule 37(b)(2)(A)(i)-(vi). Instead of or in addition to these sanctions, the court must require the party failing to act, the attorney advising that party, or both to pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, caused by the failure, unless the failure was substantially justified or other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.

20. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(A)(i)-(vi) provides:

If a party or a party's officer, director, or managing agent -- or a witness designated under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a)(4) -- fails to obey an order to provide or permit discovery, including an order under Rule 26(f), 35, or 37(a), the court where the action is pending may issue further just orders. They may include the following:

- (i) directing that the matters embraced in the order or other designated facts be taken as established for purposes of the action, as the prevailing party claims;
- (ii) prohibiting the disobedient party from supporting or opposing designated claims or defenses, or from introducing designated matters in evidence;
- (iii) striking pleadings in whole or in part;
- (iv) staying further proceedings until the order is obeyed;
- (v) dismissing the action or proceeding in whole or in part;
- (vi) rendering a default judgment against the disobedient party; or
- (vii) treating as contempt of court the failure to obey any order except an order to submit to a physical or mental examination.
- 21. Given the importance of these long-sought-after depositions to the preliminary injunction proceeding, the State submits that the appropriate remedy is for this Court to strike any response of the Cargill Defendants to the State's Motion for Preliminary Injunction and grant the relief requested in the State's Motion for Preliminary Injunction against the Cargill Defendants by default. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(A)(ii) & (vi).
- 22. Further, the Cargill Defendants should be required to produce their 30(b)(6) designees on January 30, 2008 for deposition.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the State's Motion for Sanctions should be granted.

Respectfully Submitted,

W.A. Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 ATTORNEY GENERAL Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 J. Trevor Hammons OBA #20234 Tina Lynn Izadi OBA #17978 Daniel P. Lennington OBA #21577 ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21st St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

/s/ Richard T. Garren

M. David Riggs OBA #7583
Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371
Richard T. Garren OBA #3253
Douglas A. Wilson OBA #13128
Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010
Robert A. Nance OBA #6581
D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN,
ORBISON & LEWIS
502 West Sixth Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
(918) 587-3161

Louis Werner Bullock OBA #1305 James Randall Miller OBA #6214 MILLER, KEFFER & BULLOCK 110 West Seventh Street Suite 707 Tulsa OK 74119 (918) 584-2001

David P. Page OBA #6852 BELL LEGAL GROUP P. O. Box 1769 Tulsa, Ok 74101-1769 (918) 398-6800

Frederick C. Baker (admitted pro hac vice)
Lee M. Heath (admitted pro hac vice)
Elizabeth C. Ward (admitted pro hac vice)
Elizabeth Claire Xidis (admitted pro hac vice)
MOTLEY RICE, LLC
28 Bridgeside Boulevard

Mount Pleasant, SC 29465 (843) 216-9280

William H. Narwold (admitted *pro hac vice*) Ingrid L. Moll (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 20 Church Street, 17th Floor Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 882-1676

Jonathan D. Orent (admitted pro hac vice) Michael G. Rousseau (admitted pro hac vice) Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick (admitted pro hac vice) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 321 South Main Street Providence, RI 02940 (401) 457-7700

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 25th day of January, 2008, I electronically transmitted the above and foregoing pleading to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and a transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General Kelly H. Burch, Assistant Attorney General J. Trevor Hammons, Assistant Attorney General Tina Lynn Izadi, Assistant Attorney General Daniel P. Lennington, Assistant Attorney General fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us trevor_hammons@oag.state.ok.us tina_izadi@oag.state.ok.us daniel.lennington@oag.ok.gov

M. David Riggs Joseph P. Lennart Richard T. Garren Douglas A. Wilson Sharon K. Weaver Robert A. Nance D. Sharon Gentry driggs@riggsabney.com
jlennart@riggsabney.com
rgarren@riggsabney.com
doug_wilson@riggsabney.com
sweaver@riggsabney.com
rnance@riggsabney.com
sgentry@riggsabney.com

RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS

Louis Werner Bullock James Randall Miller MILLER, KEFFER & BULLOCK lbullock@bullock-blakemore.com rmiller@mkblaw.net

David P. Page BELL LEGAL GROUP dpage@edbelllaw.com

Frederick C. Baker Lee M. Heath Elizabeth C. Ward Elizabeth Claire Xidis William H. Narwold Ingrid L. Moll Jonathan D. Orent Michael G. Rousseau Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick MOTLEY RICE, LLC fbaker@motleyrice.com lheath@motleyrice.com lward@motleyrice.com cxidis@motleyrice.com bnarwold@motleyrice.com imoll@motleyrice.com jorent@motleyrice.com mrousseau@motleyrice.com ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com

Counsel for State of Oklahoma

Robert P. Redemann rredemann@pmrlaw.net
Lawrence W. Zeringue lzeringue@pmrlaw.net
David C. Senger dsenger@pmrlaw.net
PERRINE, MCGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BARRY & TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.

Robert E Sanders Edwin Stephen Williams YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A. rsanders@youngwilliams.com steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

Counsel for Cal-Maine Farms, Inc and Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.

John H. Tucker jtucker@rhodesokla.com
Theresa Noble Hill thill@rhodesokla.com
Colin Hampton Tucker ctucker@rhodesokla.com
Leslie Jane Southerland ljsoutherland@rhodesokla.com

RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE

Terry Wayen West
THE WEST LAW FIRM

terry@thewestlawfirm.com

Delmar R. Ehrich Bruce Jones Dara D. Mann Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee Todd P. Walker FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP dehrich@faegre.com bjones@faegre.com dmann@faegre.com kklee@faegre.com twalker@faegre.com

Counsel for Cargill, Inc. & Cargill Turkey Production, LLC

James Martin Graves
Gary V Weeks
Paul E. Thompson, Jr
Woody Bassett
BASSETT LAW FIRM

jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com gweeks@bassettlawfirm.com pthompson@bassettlawfirm.com wbassett@bassettlawfirm.com

George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C. gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com

Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms, Inc.

A. Scott McDaniel smcdaniel@mhla-law.com
Nicole Longwell nlongwell@mhla-law.com
Philip Hixon phixon@mhla-law.com
Craig A. Merkes cmerkes@mhla-law.com

MCDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD, PLLC

Sherry P. Bartley sbartley@mwsgw.com MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELIG, GATES & WOODYARD, PLLC Counsel for Peterson Farms, Inc.

John Elrod
Vicki Bronson
P. Joshua Wisley
Bruce W. Freeman
D. Richard Funk
CONNER & WINTERS, LLP
Counsel for Simmons Foods, Inc.

jelrod@cwlaw.com vbronson@cwlaw.com jwisley@cwlaw.com bfreeman@cwlaw.com rfunk@cwlaw.com

Stephen L. Jantzen Paula M. Buchwald Patrick M. Ryan RYAN, WHALEY, COLDIRON & SHANDY, P.C.

sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com pryan@ryanwhaley.com

Mark D. Hopson
Jay Thomas Jorgensen
Timothy K. Webster
Thomas C. Green
SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD LLP

mhopson@sidley.com jjorgensen@sidley.com twebster@sidley.com tcgreen@sidley.com Robert W. George Michael R. Bond Erin W. Thompson KUTAK ROCK, LLP robert.george@kutakrock.com michael.bond@kutakrock.com erin.thompson@kutakrock.com

Counsel for Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson Poultry, Inc., Tyson Chicken, Inc., & Cobb-Vantress, Inc.

R. Thomas Lay

rtl@kiralaw.com

KERR, IRVINE, RHODES & ABLES

Jennifer Stockton Griffin David Gregory Brown LATHROP & GAGE LC jgriffin@lathropgage.com

Counsel for Willow Brook Foods, Inc.

Robin S Conrad

rconrad@uschamber.com

NATIONAL CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER

Gary S Chilton

gchilton@hcdattorneys.com

HOLLADAY, CHILTON AND DEGIUSTI, PLLC

Counsel for US Chamber of Commerce and American Tort Reform Association

D. Kenyon Williams, Jr.

kwilliams@hallestill.com mgraves@hallestill.com

Michael D. Graves

Hall, Estill, Hardwick, Gable, Golden & Nelson

Counsel for Poultry Growers/Interested Parties/ Poultry Partners, Inc.

Richard Ford LeAnne Burnett richard.ford@crowedunlevy.com leanne.burnett@crowedunlevy.com

Crowe & Dunlevy

Counsel for Oklahoma Farm Bureau, Inc.

Kendra Akin Jones, Assistant Attorney General Charles L. Moulton, Sr Assistant Attorney General Kendra.Jones@arkansasag.gov Charles.Moulton@arkansasag.gov

Also on this 25th day of January, 2008 I mailed a copy of the above and foregoing pleading to:

David Gregory Brown

Lathrop & Gage LC 314 E HIGH ST JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101

Thomas C Green

Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 1501 K ST NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005

Cary Silverman

Victor E Schwartz

Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP (Washington DC) 600 14TH ST NW STE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-2004

C Miles Tolbert

Secretary of the Environment State of Oklahoma 3800 NORTH CLASSEN OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73118

Gary V. Weeks

Bassett Law Firm P. O. Box 3618 Fayetteville, AR 72702

Dustin McDaniel

Justin Allen

Office of the Attorney General (Little Rock) 323 Center St, Ste 200 Little Rock, AR 72201-2610

, ,	n	1	T	\sim
/S/	K1C	nard	Ι.	Garren

Richard T. Garren